



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2016

---

## **Tracing and growth inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* in barbecue cheese production after product recall**

Johler, Sophia ; Zurfluh, Katrin ; Stephan, Roger

**Abstract:** Staphylococcal food poisoning is one of the most prevalent causes of foodborne intoxication worldwide. It is caused by ingestion of enterotoxins formed by *Staphylococcus aureus* during growth in the food matrix. Following a recall of barbecue cheese due to the detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins in Switzerland in July 2015, we analyzed the production process of the respective dairy. Although most cheese-making processes involve acidification to inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria, barbecue cheese has to maintain a pH >6.0 to prevent undesired melting of the cheese. In addition, the dairy decided to retain the traditional manual production process of the barbecue cheese. In this study, therefore, we aimed to (1) trace *Staph. aureus* along the barbecue cheese production process, and (2) develop a sustainable strategy to inhibit growth of *Staph. aureus* and decrease the risk of staphylococcal food poisoning without changing the traditional production process. To this end, we traced *Staph. aureus* in a step-wise blinded process analysis on 4 different production days using spa (*Staphylococcus* protein A gene) typing, DNA microarray profiling, and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis. We subsequently selected a new starter culture and used a model cheese production including a challenge test assay to assess its antagonistic effect on *Staph. aureus* growth, as well as its sensory and technological implications. We detected *Staph. aureus* in 30% (37/124) of the collected samples taken from the barbecue cheese production at the dairy. This included detection of *Staph. aureus* in the final product on all 4 production days, either after enrichment or using quantitative detection. We traced 2 enterotoxigenic *Staph. aureus* strains (t073/CC45 and t282/CC45) colonizing the nasal cavity and the forearms of the cheesemakers to the final product. In the challenge test assay, we were able to show that the new starter culture inhibited growth of *Staph. aureus* while meeting the sensory and technological requirements of barbecue cheese production.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10689>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-129951>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Johler, Sophia; Zurfluh, Katrin; Stephan, Roger (2016). Tracing and growth inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* in barbecue cheese production after product recall. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(5):3345-3350.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10689>

**INTERPRETIVE SUMMARY**

**Tracing and growth inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* in barbecue cheese production  
after product recall**

Johler

*Staph. aureus* is one of the most prevalent causes of foodborne intoxication worldwide. Following a product recall, we investigated the barbecue cheese production process of a dairy. We traced two enterotoxigenic strains of *Staph. aureus* along the production process from colonized cheesemakers to the final product. As the dairy decided to retain the traditional production process, the most promising strategy to increase consumer safety was the implementation of a new starter culture. We were able to show that the new starter culture inhibits growth of *Staph. aureus*, while meeting the sensory and technological requirements of barbecue cheese production.

TRACING *STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS* IN CHEESE PRODUCTION

**Tracing and growth inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* in barbecue cheese production  
after product recall**

**S. Johler\*, K. Zurfluh\*, R. Stephan\*<sup>1</sup>**

\*Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, Vetsuisse Faculty University of Zurich, 8057 Zurich,  
Switzerland

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Roger Stephan, Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, Vetsuisse Faculty  
University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 272, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone: +41-446358651,  
Fax: +41-446358908, E-mail: roger.stephan@uzh.ch

## ABSTRACT

Staphylococcal Food Poisoning is one of the most prevalent causes of foodborne intoxications worldwide. It is caused by ingestion of enterotoxins preformed by *Staphylococcus (Staph.) aureus* during growth in the food matrix. Following a recall of barbecue cheese due to the detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins in Switzerland in July 2015, we analyzed the production process of the respective dairy. While most cheese-making processes involve acidification to inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria, barbecue cheese has to maintain a pH > 6.0 to avoid undesired melting of the cheese. In addition, the dairy decided to retain the traditional manual production process of the barbecue cheese. In this study, we therefore aimed to i) trace *Staph. aureus* along the barbecue cheese production process, and ii) develop a sustainable strategy to inhibit growth of *Staph. aureus* and decrease the risk of staphylococcal food poisoning without changing the traditional production process. To this end, we traced *Staph. aureus* in a step-wise blinded process analysis at four different production days using *spa* typing, DNA microarray profiling, and pulsed field gel electrophoresis analysis. We subsequently selected a new starter culture and used a model cheese production including a challenge test assay to assess its antagonistic effect on *Staph. aureus* growth, as well as its sensory and technological implications. We detected *Staph. aureus* in 30% (37/124) of the collected samples taken from the barbecue cheese production at the dairy. This included detection of *Staph. aureus* in the final product on all four production days, either after enrichment or using quantitative detection. We traced two enterotoxigenic *Staph. aureus* strains (t073/CC45 and t282/CC45) colonizing the nasal cavity and the forearms of the cheesemakers to the final product. In the challenge test assay, we were able to show that the new starter culture inhibits growth of *Staph. aureus*, while meeting the sensory and technological requirements of barbecue cheese production.

64

65 Key words: *Staphylococcus aureus*, contamination routes, process analysis, barbecue cheese,

66 starter culture

**INTRODUCTION**

In July 2015, the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office issued a warning concerning the consumption of barbecue cheese, in which *Staphylococcus (Staph.) aureus* and staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) had been detected. The dairy producing the barbecue cheese issued a recall, followed by a comprehensive external process analysis to trace *Staph. aureus* in the cheese-making process.

*Staph. aureus* can cause staphylococcal food poisoning (SFP), the most prevalent food-borne intoxication worldwide. Ingestion of major or newly-described staphylococcal enterotoxins (Hennekinne et al., 2010; Johler et al., 2015) preformed during growth of the organism in food leads to symptoms of acute gastroenteritis and violent emesis (Hu and Nakane, 2014). While symptoms usually subside within 24 hours, SFP can in rare cases be fatal for children and the elderly. The CDC estimates 240,000 cases per year in the US, resulting in 1,000 hospitalizations and six deaths (Scallan et al., 2011).

As SEs are heat stable and will not be inactivated during the cooking process, preventive measures focus on inhibiting growth of *Staph. aureus* in the food matrix (Le Loir et al., 2003). To this end, starter cultures are used in the production of a wide range of foods including cheese. A suitable starter culture will outcompete the organism, thus effectively preventing *Staph. aureus* growth and SE formation. However, starter cultures need to meet several criteria to be suitable for the production of barbecue cheese. While the antagonistic effect of many starter cultures is due to acidification of the food matrix, the pH of barbecue cheese cannot be lowered to values < 6.0, as this would result in melting of the cheese when it is exposed to high temperatures during preparation by the customer. In addition, suitable starter cultures must have no negative sensory implications.

In this study, we aimed to i) trace *Staph. aureus* in the barbecue cheese production process, and ii) develop a sustainable strategy to inhibit growth of *Staph. aureus* and decrease the risk of SFP without changing the traditional production process.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### ***Sampling along the production process and isolation of coagulase-positive staphylococci***

To identify potential sources for contamination of the barbecue cheese at the dairy, four production cycles (see Figure 1) of the barbecue cheese were screened for coagulase-positive staphylococci in a blinded setup. To avoid bias, the dairy and the cheesemakers were only informed of the results upon completion of the study. Samples were taken from cheesemakers and at each step of the cheese-making process on Aug. 24<sup>th</sup> (T<sub>1</sub>), Aug. 27<sup>th</sup> (T<sub>2</sub>), Sept. 1<sup>st</sup> (T<sub>3</sub>), and Sept. 3<sup>rd</sup> (T<sub>4</sub>), 2015 (see Table 1). Before the start of the cheese production, swabs from the anterior nares and forearms of the cheesemakers were taken, as well as a swab from the inner and outer side of the end of the milk hose after pasteurization. All swabs were moistened using 0.85% NaCl. During the cheese production, 10 mL samples of milk, whey, and starter cultures, and 30 g samples of curd and cheese were taken. Samples were screened for coagulase positive staphylococci (CPS) using enrichment in Mueller-Hinton broth with 6.5% NaCl and plating on rabbit plasma fibrinogen (RPF) agar (Oxoid, Pratteln, Switzerland), and quantitatively following the EN ISO 6888-2 protocol. *Staph. aureus* species identification was achieved through subsequent *spa* typing and the detection of species-specific markers by Staphytype DNA microarray profiling.

## ***Cell Lysis and DNA Extraction***

For cell lysis and DNA extraction, reagents of the Staphytype genotyping kit 2.0 (Alere, Jena, Germany) and the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) were used according to the manufacturers' instructions. The concentration of nucleic acids was measured using a Nanodrop ND-1000 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA).

## ***Spa Typing***

The polymorphic X region of *spa* was amplified as previously described (Wattlinger et al., 2012). Each PCR product was subsequently purified using the GenElute™ PCR Clean-Up Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) and sequencing was outsourced (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland). Subsequently, *spa* types were determined using the *spa*-server (<http://spa.ridom.de/>) (Harmsen et al., 2003).

## ***DNA Microarray Based Genotyping***

The Staphytype genotyping kit 2.0 was used to detect the presence/absence of over 300 virulence and resistance genes and their allelic variants in *Staph. aureus* strains that were traced from the cheesemakers to the final product. Detection included genes encoding the major staphylococcal enterotoxins (*sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*), as well as genes coding for newly described staphylococcal enterotoxins and enterotoxin-like superantigens (*seg*, *seh*, *sei*, *sej*, *sek*, *sel*, *selm*, *seln*, *selo*, *seq*, *ser*, *selu*). Microarray profiles also allowed for assignment of the strains to *agr* types, as well as clonal complexes (CC) (Monecke et al., 2008).

## ***Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis***



Preparation of chromosomal DNA and PFGE analysis of *Sma*I digested fragments was performed as previously described (Bannerman et al., 1995). Electrophoresis was carried out in a Bio-Rad CHEF-DR III electrophoresis cell. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Braenderup strain H9812 digested with 50 U *Xba*I (12 h, 37°C) was used as a molecular size standard. Gels were analyzed with Gel Compar II software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) using the dice coefficient and were represented by unweighted pair grouping by mathematical averaging (UPGMA) with an optimization of 0.5%, and position tolerance of 1%.

#### ***Model Cheese Production Including Challenge Test Assay Using New Starter Culture***

A new starter culture, consisting of coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS), was chosen (START Crudo 500, Christl Gewürze GmbH, Moosdorf, Austria). CNS species identification was performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization – time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). To evaluate the suitability of the new starter culture for the barbecue cheese production, the cheesemakers produced four batches of model cheese (batches A-D) in a laboratory setting.

To assess growth of the starter culture during the cheese-making process, we determined CNS counts in two barbecue cheese production batches (batches A and B) at three time points. The first sample was taken from the curd directly after coagulation, the second sample was obtained from the cheese after pressing (same day), and the third sample was taken in the morning of the following day. At this time, we also measured the pH of the cheese.

The inhibitory effect of the new starter culture on *Staph. aureus* growth was assessed in a challenge assay using two batches of barbecue cheese (batches C and D) produced with milk contaminated with *Staph. aureus*. To this end, a *Staph. aureus* strain isolated during the step-wise analysis of the barbecue cheese production process (strain 1, P30, t282) was grown in BHI broth

at 37°C (225 rpm shaking) over night to  $2.5 \times 10^9$  CFU/mL. From the overnight broth, as well as from its 10-fold dilution in 0.85% NaCl, 1.0 mL were added to 3 L of milk, resulting in contamination levels of  $10^6$  CFU/mL milk in batch C and  $10^5$  CFU/mL milk in batch D. The cheeses were sampled after 24 h and CPS counts were determined following the EN ISO 6888-2 protocol.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We detected *Staph. aureus* in 30% (37/124) of the collected samples which were taken along the production process of the four barbecue cheese production cycles at the dairy (see Table 1). This included detection of *Staph. aureus* in the final product on all four production days, either after enrichment ( $T_1$ ) or by quantitative detection methods ( $T_2$ - $T_4$ ). The highest CPS count detected in a sample equaled  $6.3 \times 10^5$  CfU/g in the final product, with cell density levels of  $10^5$  to  $10^6$  CFU/mL generally being regarded as sufficient for enterotoxin production. The step-wise analysis showed that in most cases low-level contaminations occurred early in the production process, with *Staph. aureus* being detected only after enrichment.

To determine the source of the contamination, we traced the isolates along the barbecue cheese production process using *spa* typing, DNA microarray analysis, and PFGE analysis. The 37 isolates represented six different *spa* types: t282 (n = 15), t073 (n = 15), t5264 (n = 4), t362 (n = 1), t529 (n = 1), and t3992 (n = 1). The three *spa* types that were detected only once were found in *Staph. aureus* strains obtained from raw milk and the forearm of a cheesemaker. As these strains were not present in the final product, we excluded them from further characterization experiments. DNA microarray analysis and *spa* typing showed that all remaining 34 isolates could be assigned to three distinct *Staph. aureus* strains. Genotypic characteristics, including typing results, as well as enterotoxin gene profiles of these strains are provided in Table 2. Strains

1 (t282/CC45) and 2 (t073/CC45) harbored enterotoxin genes and were repeatedly detected in samples from cheesemakers and in the final product. Strain identity of selected isolates was confirmed by PFGE analysis, resulting in only two distinct patterns. A third *Staph. aureus* strain (t5264/CC88) was only found in one production cycle (T4) and was shown not to be enterotoxigenic.

*Staph. aureus* colonizes the skin and mucosa of humans, with nasal carriage rates between 30% and 50% in the adult population (Wattinger et al., 2012; Diederer et al., 2006; Halablab et al., 2010; Munckhof et al., 2008). The three *Staph. aureus* strains detected in the nasal cavity and on the forearms of the cheesemakers, as well as in the final product, were assigned to t282/CC45, t073/CC45, and t5264/CC88. *Staph. aureus* isolates of these *spa* types and clonal complexes have been previously reported in association with asymptomatic colonization and cases of infections in humans (Bloemendaal et al., 2009; Luedicke et al., 2010; Wattinger et al., 2012; Gómez-Sanz et al., 2013). *Staph. aureus* nasal carriage status is not necessarily permanent, but was shown to frequently change over time (Sakwinska et al., 2009). While decolonization strategies such as intranasal application of mupirocin and chlorhexidine washing have high success rates immediately after treatment, many carriers will become recolonized during a longer follow-up period (Ammerlaan et al., 2009; van Rijen et al., 2008). Thus, decolonization of cheesemakers does not represent a sustainable approach to increase food safety and promotes the risk of nasal colonization with mupirocin-resistant *Staph. aureus* (Ammerlaan et al., 2009).

As the dairy decided to retain the traditional manual production of the barbecue cheese, the most promising strategy of increasing food safety and reducing the risk of SFP was the inhibition of *Staph. aureus* growth by use of a new starter culture. The candidate starter culture was identified as *Staph. vitulinus* by MALDI-TOF MS. As shown in Table 3, the new starter culture was able to grow under the conditions of the cheese-making process. It had no negative sensory implications

and resulted in a favorable pH of the product (pH = 6.29). In the challenge assay, we also observed that the starter culture was able to outcompete *Staph. aureus* (Table 4), with a maximum increase of CPS counts of 1 log<sub>10</sub>. This is particularly remarkable, as physical concentration effects alone can result in an increase of 1 log<sub>10</sub> during the cheese-making process (Peng et al., 2013).

## CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that while the pasteurization process of the raw milk used for barbecue cheese production was successful, there is still the risk of SFP due to *Staph. aureus* contamination by colonized cheesemakers. As decolonization of food handlers cannot assure long-term freedom from nasal or skin carriage, the most promising strategy to increase food safety in the traditional manual production process is the use of a suitable starter culture. We were able to show that the new *Staph. vitulinus* starter culture inhibited growth of *Staph. aureus*, while meeting the sensory and technological requirements of barbecue cheese production. The dairy has by now successfully implemented the new starter culture in the production process of the barbecue cheese and resumed regular production.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the cheesemaker and the dairy for their cooperation and we thank Sabrina Corti for technical assistance. We acknowledge funding by the Swiss National Research Program 69 (40690\_145211/1).

# REFERENCES

- Ammerlaan, H.S.M., J.A.J.W. Kluytmans, H.F.L. Wertheim, J.L. Nouwen, and M.J.M. Bonten. 2009. Eradication of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Carriage: A Systematic Review. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 48:922–930.
- Bannerman, T.L., G.A. Hancock, F.C. Tenover, and J.M. Miller. 1995. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis as a replacement for bacteriophage typing of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 33:551–555.
- Bloemendaal, A.L.A., A.C. Fluit, W.M.T. Jansen, M.R. Vriens, T. Ferry, L. Argaud, J.M. Amorim, A.C. Resende, A. Pascual, L. López-Cerero, S. Stefani, G. Castiglione, P. Evangelopoulou, S. Tsiplakou, I.H.M.B. Rinkes, and J. Verhoef. 2009. Acquisition and Cross-Transmission of *Staphylococcus aureus* in European Intensive Care Units. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 30:117–124.
- Diederén, B.M.W., M.-L. van Leest, I. van Duijn, P. Willemse, P.H.J. van Keulen, and J.A.J.W. Kluytmans. 2006. Performance of MRSA ID, a new chromogenic medium for detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 44:586–588.
- Gómez-Sanz, E., C. Torres, C. Lozano, and M. Zarazaga. 2013. High diversity of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* lineages and toxigenic traits in healthy pet-owning household members. Underestimating normal household contact? *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 36:83–94.
- Halablab, M.A., S.M. Hijazi, M.A. Fawzi, and G.F. Araj. 2010. *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal carriage rate and associated risk factors in individuals in the community. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 138:702–706.
- Harmsen, D., H. Claus, W. Witte, J. Rothgänger, H. Claus, D. Turnwald, and U. Vogel. 2003. Typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a university hospital setting by using novel software for spa repeat determination and database management. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41:5442–5448.
- Hennekinne, J.-A., A. Ostyn, F. Guillier, S. Herbin, A.-L. Prufer, and S. Dragacci. 2010. How should staphylococcal food poisoning outbreaks be characterized? *Toxins (Basel).* 2:2106–2116.
- Hu, D.-L., and A. Nakane. 2014. Mechanisms of staphylococcal enterotoxin-induced emesis. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 722:95–107.
- Johler, S., P. Giannini, M. Jermini, J. Hummerjohann, A. Baumgartner, and R. Stephan. 2015. Further evidence for staphylococcal food poisoning outbreaks caused by *egc*-encoded enterotoxins. *Toxins (Basel).* 7, 997-1004.

- 268 Le Loir, Y., F. Baron, and M. Gautier. 2003. *Staphylococcus aureus* and food poisoning. *Genet.*  
269 *Mol. Res.* 2:63–76.
- 270 Luedicke, C., P. Slickers, R. Ehricht, and S. Monecke. 2010. Molecular fingerprinting of  
271 *Staphylococcus aureus* from bone and joint infections. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.*  
272 29:457–463.
- 273 Monecke, S., P. Slickers, and R. Ehricht. 2008. Assignment of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates to  
274 clonal complexes based on microarray analysis and pattern recognition. *FEMS Immunol.*  
275 *Med. Microbiol.* 53:237–251.
- 276 Munckhof, W.J., G.R. Nimmo, J. Carney, J.M. Schooneveldt, F. Huygens, J. Inman-Bamber, E.  
277 Tong, A. Morton, and P. Giffard. 2008. Methicillin-susceptible, non-multiresistant  
278 methicillin-resistant and multiresistant methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*  
279 infections: a clinical, epidemiological and microbiological comparative study. *Eur. J. Clin.*  
280 *Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 27:355–364.
- 281 Peng, S., W. Hoffmann, W. Bockelmann, J. Hummerjohann, R. Stephan, and P. Hammer. 2013.  
282 Fate of Shiga toxin-producing and generic *Escherichia coli* during production and ripening  
283 of semihard raw milk cheese. *J. Dairy Sci.* 96:1–9.
- 284 van Rijen, M.M.L., M. Bonten, R.P. Wenzel, and J. a J.W. Kluytmans. 2008. Intranasal  
285 mupirocin for reduction of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in surgical patients with nasal  
286 carriage: A systematic review. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 61:254–261.
- 287 Sakwinska, O., G. Kuhn, C. Balmelli, P. Francioli, M. Giddey, V. Perreten, A. Riesen, F. Zysset,  
288 D.S. Blanc, and P. Moreillon. 2009. Genetic diversity and ecological success of  
289 *Staphylococcus aureus* strains colonizing humans. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75:175–183.
- 290 Scallan, E., R.M. Hoekstra, F.J. Angulo, R. V. Tauxe, M.-A. Widdowson, S.L. Roy, J.L. Jones,  
291 and P.M. Griffin. 2011. Foodborne illness acquired in the United States - major pathogens.  
292 *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 17:7–15.
- 293 Wattinger, L., R. Stephan, F. Layer, and S. Johler. 2012. Comparison of *Staphylococcus aureus*  
294 isolates associated with food intoxication with isolates from human nasal carriers and human  
295 infections. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 31:455–464.
- 296
- 297

**TABLES**

Table 1: Tracing *Staph. aureus* in a step-wise blinded process analysis at four different days (T<sub>1</sub>-T<sub>4</sub>). The table indicates at which production steps *Staph. aureus* isolates were detected and provides an overview of the respective *spa* types (t073, t282, t5264, t529, t3992) and PFGE patterns (<sup>A</sup>, <sup>B</sup>) determined for selected isolates. Three strains could be traced from the cheesemakers to the final product (t072<sup>A</sup>, t282<sup>B</sup>, t5264).

ID	Source	Sampling details	Result <sup>1,2</sup>			
			T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>
<i>Before processing (swabs)</i>						
BP1	Cheesemaker 1	Nose	t282 <sup>B</sup>	-	t282 <sup>B</sup>	t282 <sup>B</sup>
BP2	Cheesemaker 2	Nose	t073 <sup>A</sup>	t073 <sup>A</sup>	t073 <sup>A</sup>	t073 <sup>A</sup>
BP3	Cheesemaker 1	Forearms	t282	t362 <sub>e</sub>	-	-
BP4	Cheesemaker 2	Forearms	-	t073 <sup>A</sup>	-	t5264
BP5	Milk hose after pasteurization	Inside and outside	-	-	-	-
<i>Processing</i>						
P1	Raw milk		t529	t3992	-	-
P2	Milk after pasteurization	Production start	-	-	-	-
P3	Milk after pasteurization	Mid-production	-	-	-	-
P4	Starter culture		-	-	-	-
P5	Curd before cutting	Sample 1	-	-	-	-
P6	Curd before cutting	Sample 2	-	-	-	-
P7	Curd before cutting	Sample 3	-	-	t073 <sup>A</sup> <sub>e</sub>	-
P8	Curd after 1 <sup>st</sup> stirring	Sample 1	-	t073 <sup>A</sup> <sub>q</sub>	-	t073 <sup>A</sup> <sub>e</sub>

P9	Curd after 1 <sup>st</sup> stirring	Sample 2	-	-	-	-
P10	Curd after 1 <sup>st</sup> stirring	Sample 3	-	t073 <sub>e</sub>	-	-
P11	Whey	1 <sup>st</sup> draining	-	-	-	-
P12	Curd after 2 <sup>nd</sup> stirring	Sample 1	-	-	-	t282 <sup>B</sup> <sub>e</sub>
P13	Curd after 2 <sup>nd</sup> stirring	Sample 2	-	-	-	t073 <sup>A</sup> <sub>e</sub>
P14	Curd after 2 <sup>nd</sup> stirring	Sample 3	-	-	-	-
P15	Whey	2 <sup>nd</sup> draining	-	-	-	-
P16	Curd after mixing	Sample 1	-	-	-	-
P17	Curd after mixing	Sample 2	-	-	-	-
P18	Curd after mixing	Sample 3	-	-	-	-
P19	Whey	3 <sup>rd</sup> draining	-	-	-	t282 <sup>B</sup> <sub>e</sub>
P20	Cheese after pressing I	Sample 1	-	-	-	-
P21	Cheese after pressing I	Sample 2	-	-	-	t282 <sub>e</sub>
P22	Cheese after pressing I	Sample 3	-	-	-	t282 <sub>e</sub>
P23	Cheese after pressing II	Sample 1	-	-	-	t5264 <sub>q</sub>
P24	Cheese after pressing II	Sample 2	-	-	-	t282 <sub>e</sub>
P25	Cheese after pressing II	Sample 3	-	-	-	t073 <sub>e</sub>
P26	Cheese after pressing III	Sample 1	-	-	-	t282 <sub>q</sub>
P27	Cheese after pressing III	Sample 2	-	-	-	t5264 <sub>q</sub>
P28	Cheese after pressing III	Sample 3	-	-	-	t282 <sub>q</sub>
P29	Cheese after pressing IV	Sample 1	-	t073 <sup>A</sup> <sub>q</sub>	-	t5264 <sub>q</sub>
P30	Cheese after pressing IV	Sample 2	-	t073 <sub>q</sub>	t282 <sup>B</sup> <sub>q</sub>	t282 <sub>q</sub>
P31	Cheese after pressing IV	Sample 3	t282 <sub>e</sub>	t073 <sub>q</sub>	t073 <sup>A</sup> <sub>q</sub>	t282 <sub>q</sub>

304 <sup>1</sup> Superscript letters indicate assignment of isolates to PFGE patterns



<sup>2</sup> Quantitative detection ( $q$ ) is possible for CPS counts  $> 10^2$  CFU/g. Lower levels are only detectable after enrichment ( $e$ ).

Table 2: Molecular characteristics of the three *Staph. aureus* strains traced from the cheesemakers to the final product.

		Strain 1	Strain 2	Strain 3
Typing	<i>spa</i> typing	t282	t073	t5264
	PFGE	Pattern B	Pattern A	not determined
	Clonal complex	CC45	CC45	CC88
	<i>agr</i> typing	<i>agrI</i>	<i>agrI</i>	<i>agrIII</i>
Enterotoxin genes	Major enterotoxins <sup>2</sup>	-	<i>sec</i>	-
	Others <sup>2</sup>	<i>seg, sei, selm, seln, selo, selu</i>	<i>seg, sei, sel, selm, seln, selo, selu</i>	-

<sup>1</sup> Screening included all major enterotoxin genes (*sea, seb, sec, sed, and see*).

<sup>2</sup> Screening included *seg, seh, sei, sej, sek, sel, selm, seln, selo, seq, ser, and selu*.

Table 3: Growth of the starter culture was evaluated by CNS counts using a model cheese production. Growth in presence of *Staph. aureus* was determined using an initial milk contamination level of  $10^3$  CFU *Staph. aureus* per mL milk.

Time point	Batch A		Batch B (+ <i>Staph. aureus</i> )
	CNS <sup>1</sup>	pH	CNS <sup>1</sup>
After coagulation	$1.4 \times 10^6$	-	$1.3 \times 10^6$
After pressing	$2.2 \times 10^5$	-	$2.5 \times 10^5$
Next morning	$2.9 \times 10^7$	6.29	$6.0 \times 10^7$

<sup>1</sup> Counts indicated as CFU/ g

317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324

Table 4: Challenge test assay assessing inhibition of *Staph. aureus* growth by the new starter culture in a model cheese production. Growth of *Staph. aureus* and the new starter culture was quantified using CPS and CNS counts, respectively.

	CPS		CNS
	artificial contamination in milk	after 24h	after 24 h
Batch C	$10^6$ CFU/mL	$2.0 \times 10^7$ CFU/g	$2.4 \times 10^8$ CFU/g
Batch D	$10^5$ CFU/mL	$6.0 \times 10^5$ CFU/g	$2.1 \times 10^8$ CFU/g

**FIGURES**

Figure 1: Flow chart providing an overview of the barbecue cheese production process until the ripening stage. The average batch size equals 500 kg of milk resulting in 55 kg of cheese.